

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4209
09/488,265		01/20/2000	Lehmann Martin	5808.200-US	
25908	7590	07/11/2002		·	
NOVOZYI 500 FIFTH		RTH AMERICA,	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1600)		RAMIREZ, DELIA M		
NEW YORI	ζ, NY 10	110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652		
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	. Applicant(s)		
		09/488,265	MARTIN, LEHMA	MARTIN, LEHMANN	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1	
		Delia M. Ramire			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cove		ddress	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory min will apply and will expire	rever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the perome ARANDONED (35.11.5.0.6.133)	ely. communication.	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A	April 2002 .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-f	inal.		
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowation closed in accordance with the practice under to on of Claims	ance except for fo Ex parte Quayle,	ormal matters, prosecution as to the 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	he merits is	
4)🛛	Claim(s) 15-26 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consider	ation.		
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>15,16,18 and 24</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) 17-26 is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election require	ment.		
	on Papers	•			
9)[] 7	he specification is objected to by the Examiner	•			
10)⊠ Т	he drawing(s) filed on 20 January 2000 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or	b) objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)[] T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approve	ed b) disapproved by the Examin	ier.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep				
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)🛛 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[∑	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been rece	ived.		
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been rece	ived in Application No.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burse the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ty documents ha eau (PCT Rule 1	ve been received in this National 7.2(a)).	Stage	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			l application)	
a) 15) <u> </u>	☐ The translation of the foreign language proveknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application	on has been received.	. арриосиону.	
Attachment(•				
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO Other:	(s) O-152)	
O-326 (Rev.	0.4.0.43	ion Summary	D-1-11	Paner No. 19	

Application/Control Number: 09/488,265

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

Claims 15-26 are pending.

Applicant's cancellation of claims 1-15 and addition of claims 15-26 in Paper No. 18, filed on 4/30/2002 are acknowledged.

Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn.

Inventorship

1. In view of the papers filed 11/20/200, it has been found that this nonprovisional application, as filed, through error and without deceptive intent, improperly set forth the inventorship, and accordingly, this application has been corrected in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(a). The inventorship of this application has been changed by adding inventor Soren Flensted Lassen.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of the file jacket and PTO PALM data to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

Drawings

2. The drawings have been reviewed and are approved by a draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152.

Application/Control Number: 09/488,265

Art Unit: 1652

Claim Objections

3. Claims 17-20 objected to because of the following informalities: for clarity, it is suggested that the term "an amino acid sequence" be replaced with "the amino acid sequence". Appropriate correction is required.

4. Claims 21-26 are objected to because of the following informalities: for clarity, it is suggested that the term "a phytases of claim #" be replaced with "the phytase of claim #".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, Second Paragraph

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claims 15-16 are indefinite in the recitation of "wherein % identity is determined by GAP provided in the GCG program package" as it is unclear absent a statement indicating the parameters used to calculate % identity. It is suggested that the claims be amended to include a length weight of 0 and a gap weight of 3 for amino acid sequences (page 15, lines 6-9) and a gap creation penalty of 50 and a gap extension penalty of 3 for nucleic acid sequences (page 15, lines 15-17). Correction is required.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/488,265 Page 4

Art Unit: 1652

Double Patenting

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

9. Claims 18 and 24 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 4-5, 37-38, 40-42 of copending Application No. 09/343,126. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 18 and 24 of the instant application are drawn to a phytase labeled consensus phytase 10 thermo 3 Q50T-K91A (SEQ ID NO: 30/31) and claims 4-5, 37-38, 40-42 are directed to a composition comprising a phytase labeled consensus phytase 10 thermo Q50T-K91A. Since the specification discloses several consensus phytase 10 thermo #, it is assumed that the phytase of copending Application No. 09/343,126 includes all thermo # variants including thermo 3, which is that of the instant application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

10. It is noted that SEQ ID NO: 167 of copending Application No. 09/343,126 is 94.9% sequence identical to SEQ ID NO: 26, which Applicant's specification discloses as that of a

Application/Control Number: 09/488,265

Art Unit: 1652

consensus phytase 10. It has been assumed that SEQ ID NO: 167 is not being claimed in copending Application No. 09/343,126. If, however, it is later established that SEQ ID NO: 167 is being claimed in copending Application No. 09/343,126, claims directed to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 26 and/or the polypeptide encoded by the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 25 (claims 15-16, 21-22) will be rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting.

11. It is noted that the molecules of SEQ ID NO: 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30 or 31 have been disclosed in Applicant's copending Application No. 09/684,855 or 09/273871. Since these applications are not available to the examiner at this time, no determination has been made as to whether or not a double patenting rejection should be applied to the claims of the instant application. If, upon availability of the above application to the examiner, it is determined that there are conflicting claims between application Serial No. 09/684,855 or 09/273871 and the instant application, double patenting will not be considered as new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 12. No claim is in condition for allowance.
- 13. Applicants are requested to submit a clean copy of the pending claims (including amendments, if any) in future written communications to aid in the examination of this application.
- 14. Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1652 by facsimile transmission. The FAX number is (703) 308-4556. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94

Art Unit: 1652

(December 28, 1993) (see 37 CFR 1.6(d)). NOTE: If Applicant submits a paper by FAX, the original copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED, so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Delia M. Ramirez whose telephone number is (703) 306-0288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Ponnathapura Achutamurthy can be reached on (703) 308-3804. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

DR July 8, 2002 Delia M. Ramirez, Ph.D. Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1652

PONNATHAPU ACHUTAMURTHY SUPERVISORY PATENT SYAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1980